

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/628,468	Applicant(s) LEE, SANG-AM	
	Examiner Parul Gupta	Art Unit 2627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Parul Gupta. (3) David Cutitta.
 (2) Wayne Young. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.


Identification of prior art discussed: Hwang et al. and Weidner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 WAYNE YOUNG
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney proposed amendment regarding plural formats on a single side. The main argument is whether or not Hwang et al. teaches various formats on the same side of the disc. The proposed amendment overcomes the current rejection, as recited. A further search or further rationale as to why plural formats on a single side is obvious will be made upon formal submission of the proposed amendment. The argument of various formats recorded on a single disc regardless of the format of the disc is overcome by Weidner's use of two and four layer discs.